



Stretching before and after physical activity to prevent injury and soreness a pragmatic randomised controlled trial

5th Evidence-Based Teachers & Developers Conference Taormina, Sicily October 30th 2009

Dr Amanda Burls



http://bjsm.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/bjsm.2009.062232v1



A pragmatic randomised trial of stretching before and after physical activity to prevent injury and soreness

Gro Jamtvedt, Robert D Herbert, Signe Flottorp, Jan Odgaard-Jensen, Kari Håvelsrud, Alexandra Barratt, Erin Mathieu, Amanda Burls and Andrew D Oxman

Br. J. Sports Med. published online 11 Jun 2009; doi:10.1136/bjsm.2009.062232



An international collaboration

- Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services
- The University of Sydney, Australia
- Chine (Sell Department of Primary Health Care, University of Oxford





An experiment in citizens' epidemiology

Aim

"to improve the health and wellbeing of citizens across the world by enabling them to make informed decisions about lifestyle, diet and health interventions through public-led health discussions, education and research, using the internet and the mass media as the fundamental tools"



The stretching trial...



Background

 Many people stretch before or after physical activity



- They may do so to reduce injury risk, reduce soreness, enhance performance, or increase the feeling of 'looseness'
- There have been few randomised studies of the effects of stretching



Effect of stretching on risk of injury

 Two randomised trials on army recruits: stretching has little or no effect on injury risk. For example:





Effect on muscle soreness

A recent systematic review concluded: stretching had little or no effect on mean soreness





Limitations of existing studies

- Injury studies carried out on army recruits
- Muscle soreness studies carried out in laboratory setting
- Muscle soreness studies investigated effect of a very small number of sessions of stretching - longer-term effects not considered



Primary objective

To determine if stretching before AND after vigorous physical activity reduces risk of injury or soreness in a physically active community population



Secondary objectives

To determine effects of stretching on

- severity of soreness
- feelings of looseness

during and after activity

To ascertain if magnitude of effects on injury risk or soreness depend on

- age
- activity levels



• beliefs about the effectiveness of stretching

Methods: Design

- Two-arm randomised controlled trial
- Entirely internet-based
- Concealed allocation
- Self-reported outcomes
- Pragmatic
- Unblinded





Welcome to The Stretching Trial

Do you stretch after exercise? Always? Never?

A lot of people stretch before or after exercise. But if you don't, nobody could blame you. The surprising truth is that we don't actually know if stretching is beneficial. In The Stretching Trial we aim to find out. You may be one of the people who can help us find out. We are looking for regular, recreational exercisers who can participate in our trial. You need to meet a few criteria to join us, like having an e-mail address and internet access.

The University of Sydney and the Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services are running the trial, and are co-operating with The Health Report on ABC Radio National and NRK Puls on Norwegian TV to tell people about the study.

We gratefully acknowledge the support of Puls and the New South Wales Sporting Injuries Commission.

The Stretching Trial will start in February. If you are interested, please send an email to strength@basih.unid.adu.au

Methods: Participants

- 2,377 adults who regularly participated in physical activity
- Resident anywhere in the world, able to read and write English or Norwegian, able to regularly access web and email
- Primary mechanisms of recruitment were television, radio, newspapers, ThinkWell website and email



Methods: Intervention

Stretch Group:

- 7 muscle groups
- Both sides of the body
- 30 seconds
- Before and after physical activity
- (total 14 minutes)







Methods: Outcomes

Participants reported injuries, soreness and looseness, weekly for 12 weeks:

- Injuries counted if they prevented at least one episode of participation in physical activity
- Bothersome soreness
- Muscle, ligament and tendon injuries
- Soreness, looseness during activity, looseness after activity (11-point numerical rating scale)



10

<mark>2</mark>0

Methods: Analysis

- Risk of *injury* analysed with Cox regression. Risk window approach allowed for left-, right- and interval-censoring
- Risk of *bothersome soreness* analysed with mixed effects logistic regression (random intercepts for participants)
- LOCF and FOCB



Results: Completeness of reporting



Results: Compliance with intervention

FREQUENCY	Stretch group	Control group	Total
Compliant	414	845	1259
	(38.4%)	(80.8%)	(59.2%)
Partially compliant	655	135	790
	(60.7%)	(12.9%)	(37.2%)
Non-compliant	10	66	76
	(0.9%)	(6.3%)	(3.6%)



Results: Compliance with intervention

DURATION	Stretch group	Control group	Total
Compliant	83	850	933
	(7.7%)	(81.3%)	(43.9%)
Partially compliant	986	132	1118
	(91.4%)	(12.6%)	(52.6%)
Non-compliant	10	64	74
	(0.9%)	(6.1%)	(3.5%)



Results: Risk of injury

- Stretch: 2.38 p.p.y. Control: 2.44 p.p.y.
- Hazard ratio = 0.97, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.13





Results: Risk of bothersome soreness

- Stretch: 24.6%. Control: 32.3%.
- OR = 0.69, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.82





Results: Muscle/ligament/tendon injury

- Stretch: 0.66 p.p.y. Control: 0.88 p.p.y.
- HR = 0.75, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.96





Results: Other outcomes

	Stretch mean (SD)	Control mean (SD)	Effect mean (95%CI)
Soreness	2.5 (2.2)	2.9 (2.5)	0.4 (0.2 to 0.5)
Looseness during	3.0 (2.1)	3.3 (2.3)	0.3 (0.1 to 0.4)
Looseness after	3.2 (2.2)	3.7 (2.4)	0.4 (0.3 to 0.6)



Results: Interaction with age

- Significant age × group interaction for injury (HR = 1.013, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.03; p = 0.04)
- Hazard ratio (95% CI) of effect of stretching on injury by age:

at 20 years	0.75 (0.56 to 1.00)
at 40 years	0.97 (0.84 to 1.13)
at 60 years	1.26 (0.94 to 1.68)



Results: Interaction with beliefs

- Significant belief × effect of stretching interactions for risk of soreness (p = 0.03)
- Odds ratio (95% CI) of effect of stretching on soreness risk by belief:

Strong belief	0.38 (0.20 to 0.72)
Ambivalent	0.56 (0.37 to 0.84)
Strong disbelief	0.82 (0.66 to 1.02)



Discussion

Potential sources of bias

- Unblinded, self-reported outcomes
- Incomplete reporting
- Only moderate compliance

A hard-to-interpret result

 Effect on injury apparent only in secondary outcome



Based on the scientific evidence to date

 Would you stretch before and after exercise?

lOJ lОJ ОЛ ιол ЮЛ lОЛ 10 ЮЛ lO [U] lОЛ ЮJ IОЛ lOJ lОЛ lo U ОЛ \odot ОЛ ιол 0 10 101 \bigcirc \odot lОJ πол IОЛ ιол ОЛ ОЛ ιол ιол ЮЛ IОЛ IОЛ ЮJ 10 IOЛ $\left[\bigcirc \right]$ U $\left[\bigcirc \right]$ ОЛ 0 Ο Ο RΟ <u>o</u>j \bigcirc ப \odot



for 12 weeks

for 12 weeks

Bothersome soreness

١ОЛ \bigcirc 0 [0] ЮЛ lОЛ (O) \bigcirc \bigcirc \odot ப \bigcirc



Stretch

No stretch

All injuries

[0] lОЛ ιол ПОЛ IОЛ ιОJ ιол lOJ RΟ лOЛ ιO \bigcirc 10 \bigcirc O πол πол lOl ЛОЛ ΠO



Stretch

No stretch

Injuries to muscles and ligaments

OОЛ \bigcirc



Stretch

What does a HR of 0.76 really mean?

- With a baseline incidence rate of 0.77 muscle, ligament or tendon injuries per person-year, a hazard ratio of 0.76 implies that one injury, on average, is prevented every 4.6 person-years.
- A person who stretched for 10 minutes 4 times per week would have spent the equivalent of 6.6 continuous days stretching in that time



Result in context of what is known

• Effect on injury risk (HR and 95% CI)

	All injuries	Soft tissues OR Muscle/lig./tendon
Pope 2000	0 95 (0 77 to 1 18)	0.83 (0.63 to 1.00)
(N = 1538)	0.93(0.77 t0 1.10)	
This study	0.07 (0.8/1 to 1.13)	0.75 (0.59 to 0.96)*
(N = 2377)	0.97 (0.04 10 1.13)	0.75 (0.59 (0.90)
Pooled	0.96 (0.85 to 1.09)	0.76 (0.61 to 0.95)*



Effect on severity of soreness

(10-point scale; mean and 95% CI)

Herbert 2007 (N = 101)	0.1 (-0.4 to 0.6)
This study $(N = 2377)$	0.4 (0.2 to 0.5)*
Pooled	0.4 (0.2 to 0.5)*



Conclusions from RCT

- Stretching
 - does not appreciably reduce all-injury risk
 - probably reduces the risk of some injuries
 - reduced the risk of bothersome soreness
- The effects are small
- The effect on "bothersome" soreness has large risk of bias



Conclusions: Internet trials

- Provide a mechanism for recruiting from an international, non-clinical population
- Hard to define sampling frame
- Hard to monitor intervention
- Outcomes self-reported



